# Report to District Development Management Committee

Report Reference: EPF/3471/17
Date of meeting: 17 March 2021



Address: Land rear of Oakley Hall, Hoe Lane, Nazeing EN9 2RN

Subject: Demolition of derelict glasshouse and sundry structures, erection of 50 bed care home with associated ancillary parking and landscaping. (Resubmission of approved EPF/1907/10)

Responsible Officer: Ian Ansell (01992 564481)

Democratic Services: Gary Woodhall (01992 564470)

# **Recommendations/Decisions Required:**

This application was considered at Area Planning Sub-Committee West on 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2020 where it was recommended that planning permission be refused. Members did not agree the Officers recommendation and there was a majority vote by Members to grant consent. The application is therefore recommended for approval subject to a S106 legal agreement to secure an appropriate contribution to address impact on air quality from additional vehicle movements through the EFSAC, and the following conditions:

- 1. The development hereby permitted must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this notice.
- 2. The development hereby permitted will be completed and retained strictly in accordance with the approved drawings numbers: 0835\PP\ 001A, 003A, 004A, 005A, 006B, 011A, 012A, 013A, 021A and 022A
- 3. No development shall take place until a Final Reptile Mitigation Strategy addressing the mitigation and translocation of reptiles has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

The Final Reptile Mitigation Strategy shall include the following:

- a) Purpose and conservation objectives for the proposed works.
- b) Review of site potential and constraints.
- c) Detailed design(s) and/or working method(s) to achieve stated objectives.
- d) Extent and location/area of proposed works on appropriate scale maps and plans.
- e) Type and source of materials to be used where appropriate, e.g. native species of local provenance.
- f) Timetable for implementation demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of development.
- g) Persons responsible for implementing the works.
- h) Details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance of the Receptor area(s).

- i) Details for monitoring and remedial measures.
- j) Details for disposal of any wastes arising from works.

The Final Reptile Mitigation Strategy shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and all features shall be retained in that manner thereafter.

- 4. No development shall take place, including any works of demolition, until a Construction Method Statement has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved Statement shall be adhered to throughout the construction period. The Statement shall provide for:
  - 1. The parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors
  - 2. Loading and unloading of plant and materials
  - 3. Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development
  - 4. The erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing, where appropriate
  - 5. Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction, including wheel washing.
  - 6. A scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works.
- 5. No preliminary ground works shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted by the applicant and approved in writing by the Planning Authority.
- 6. All preliminary ecological mitigation measures and/or works shall be carried out in accordance with the details contained in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (Hybrid Ecology Ltd, Addendum March 2019) and the Outline Reptile Mitigation Strategy Hybrid Ecology Ltd, Addendum June 2020), and a statement from a ecology specialist confirming the completion of the preliminary works shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority prior to commencement of ground works for the development.
- 7. Subject to any other requirements in these conditions, the development be carried out in accordance with the flood risk assessment (SuDS Statement & FRA, Ref 2477/2019, Rev B, December 2019) and drainage strategy submitted with the application unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
- 8. Tree protection shall be implemented prior to the commencement of development activities (including demolition), and the methodology for development (including supervision) shall be undertaken in accordance with the submitted Tree Survey/ Arboricultural Method Statement reports unless the Local Planning Authority gives its prior written approval to any alterations. Tree protection shall be installed as shown on Andrew Day Arboricultural Consultancy Ltd 'Tree protection plan' drawing dated 21st February 2020.
- 9. No development shall commence until an assessment of the risks posed by any contamination, carried out in accordance with British Standard BS 10175: Investigation of potentially contaminated sites Code of Practice and the Environment Agency's Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination (CLR 11) (or equivalent British Standard and Model Procedures if replaced), shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. If any contamination is found, a report specifying the measures

to be taken, including the timescale, to remediate the site to render it suitable for the approved development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The site shall be remediated in accordance with the approved measures and timescale and a verification report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. If, during the course of development, any contamination is found which has not been previously identified, work shall be suspended and additional measures for its remediation shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The remediation of the site shall incorporate the approved additional measures and a verification report for all the remediation works shall be submitted to the local planning authority within 21 days of the report being completed and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

- 10. Following completion of the measures identified in the approved remediation scheme, and prior to the first use or occupation of the development, a verification report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced together with any necessary monitoring and maintenance programme and copies of any waste transfer notes relating to exported and imported soils shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval. The approved monitoring and maintenance programme shall be implemented.
- 11. In the event that any evidence of potential contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified in the Phase 2 report, work shall be suspended and additional measures for its remediation shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The remediation of the site shall incorporate the approved additional measures and a verification report for all the remediation works shall be submitted to the local planning authority within 21 days of the report being completed and approved in writing by the local planning authority.
- 12. A Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy for protected and Priority species shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority following the recommendations made within the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (Hybrid Ecology Ltd, Addendum March 2019).

The content of the Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy shall include the following:

- a) Purpose and conservation objectives for the proposed enhancement measures;
- b) detailed designs to achieve stated objectives;
- c) locations of proposed enhancement measures by appropriate maps and plans;
- d) persons responsible for implementing the enhancement measures;
- e) details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance (where relevant).

The works shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and shall be retained in that manner thereafter.

- 13. Prior to first occupation of the development hereby approved, details of foul and surface water disposal shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be implemented in accordance with such agreed details.
- 14. No construction works above ground level shall have taken place until documentary and photographic details of the types and colours of the external finishes have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority, in writing, prior to

- the commencement of the development. The development shall be implemented in accordance with such approved details.
- 15. Prior to any above ground works, full details of both hard and soft landscape works (including tree planting) and implementation programme (linked to the development schedule) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These works shall be carried out as approved. The hard landscaping details shall include, as appropriate, and in addition to details of existing features to be retained: proposed finished levels or contours; means of enclosure; car parking layouts; other minor artefacts and structures, including signs and lighting and functional services above and below ground. The details of soft landscape works shall include plans for planting or establishment by any means and full written specifications and schedules of plants, including species, plant sizes and proposed numbers /densities where appropriate. If within a period of five years from the date of the planting or establishment of any tree, or shrub or plant, that tree, shrub, or plant or any replacement is removed, uprooted or destroyed or dies or becomes seriously damaged or defective another tree or shrub, or plant of the same species and size as that originally planted shall be planted at the same place, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation.
- 16. Prior to the commencement of development other than groundworks, a lighting design scheme for biodiversity shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The scheme shall identify those features on site that are particularly sensitive for bats and that are likely to cause disturbance along important routes used for foraging; and show how and where external lighting will be installed (through the provision of appropriate lighting contour plans, Isolux drawings and technical specifications) so that it can be clearly demonstrated that areas to be lit will not disturb or prevent bats using their territory. All external lighting shall be installed in accordance with the specifications and locations set out in the scheme and maintained thereafter in accordance with the scheme. No other external lighting shall thereafter be installed without prior consent from the local planning authority.
- 17. All construction/demolition works and ancillary operations, including vehicle movement on site which are audible at the boundary of noise sensitive premises, shall only take place between the hours of 07.30 to 18.30 Monday to Friday and 08.00 to 13.00 hours on Saturday, and at no time during Sundays and Public/Bank Holidays unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- 18. Wheel washing or other cleaning facilities for vehicles leaving the site during construction works shall be installed and utilised to clean vehicles immediately before leaving the site. Any mud or other material deposited on nearby roads as a result of the development shall be removed.
- 19. All material excavated from the below ground works hereby approved shall be removed from the site unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- 20. If any tree, shrub or hedge shown to be retained in the submitted Arboricultural reports is removed, uprooted or destroyed, dies, or becomes severely damaged or diseased during development activities or within 3 years of the completion of the development, another tree, shrub or hedge of the same size and species shall be

planted within 3 months at the same place, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation. If within a period of five years from the date of planting any replacement tree, shrub or hedge is removed, uprooted or destroyed, or dies or becomes seriously damaged or defective another tree, shrub or hedge of the same species and size as that originally planted shall, within 3 months, be planted at the same place.

- 21. Details and location of the parking spaces equipped with active Electric Vehicle Charging Points shall be submitted prior to works commencing on site, including details which shall demonstrate that the development will deliver a minimum of 20% of spaces with active ECVPs from occupation. The details shall include:
  - Location of active charge points;
  - Specification of charging equipment; and
  - Operation/management strategy.

A management plan for the charging points shall be submitted in writing prior to occupation of the development and shall address:

- Which parking bays will have active charging provision, including disabled parking bays;
- How charging point usage will be charged amongst users and non-users;
- The process users can go through to activate passive charging points, and/or the triggers for identifying when additional passive charging points will become activated; and
- Electricity supply availability.

The electricity supply should be already confirmed by the Network Provider so that the supply does not need to be upgraded at a later date. The development shall be completed in accordance with the approved details and retained in perpetuity. Prior to occupation, the applicant shall submit confirmation that any active charging points are operational.

- 22. Prior to first occupation of the development, measures shall be incorporated within the development to ensure a water efficiency standard of 110 litres (or less) per person per day.
- 23. The parking areas shown on the approved plan shall be provided prior to the first occupation of the development and shall be retained free of obstruction for the parking of residents, staff and visitors vehicles.
- 24. The premises shall be used solely for residential accommodation for people in need of care and for no other purpose (including any other purpose with in Class C2 of the Schedule to the Town & Country Planning (Use Classes) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2020 or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any Statutory Instrument revoking or re-enacting that Order.
- 25. The applicant or any successor in title shall maintain yearly logs of maintenance which should be carried out in accordance with any approved Maintenance Plan. These must be available for inspection upon a request by the Local Planning Authority.

Additional comments:

This application was originally recommended to Area Planning Sub-Committee West on 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2020 with a recommendation for refusal for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposals represents inappropriate development within the Green Belt which by reason of its scale and mass and the associated extent of hard landscaping would have a significant and adverse effect on the character and openness of the Green Belt, which has not been justified by an established case of very special circumstances in support of the proposals. The development would therefore be contrary to policies CP2, GB2A and GB7A of the Adopted Local Plan and Alterations, policies DM4, DM5 and DM9 of the Local Plan Submission Version 2017, and the NPPF.
- 2. The application does not provide sufficient information to satisfy the Council, as competent authority, that the proposed development will not adversely affect the integrity of the Epping Forest Special Area for Conservation and there are no alternative solutions or imperative reasons of overriding public interest why the proposed development should be permitted. As such the proposed development is contrary to policies SP1, SP6 and NC1 of the Epping Forest Local Plan (1998) and Alterations (2006), policy DM 22 of the Epping Forest District Local Plan Submission Version 2017 and the requirements of the Habitats Regulations 2017.
- 3. The location of the development in an unsustainable location would be remote from public transport or local service facilities without adequate and safe access for pedestrians in particular to and from such facilities, thereby increasing dependence on private car use contrary to polices CP1, CP2, CP3, CP6 and STI of the Adopted Local Plan and Alterations, policies SP1, SP2, T1 and DM21 of the Local Plan Submission Version 2017, and the NPPF.

Members did not agree with the Officer's recommendation. In reaching their decision, Members justification for their view was "this proposal is supported by the Parish Council and other local people. The very special circumstances are the need for a dementia care facility in the area, and no other facility is provided, and the site will be good for people with dementia".

With regard to the specific issue, officers have sought further clarification and have confirmed that the development is not intended as a specialist dementia care unit. While an element of dementia care takes place in any care home, Members are advised that this aspect should not be given any greater weight in assessing the application than may be afforded to the application as a care home offering a broad range of accommodation.

Officers would further remind Members that the site lies within the Green Belt and is not allocated in the Local Plan Submission Version for development. As such the development must be considered as contrary to the development plan, and a departure would need to be supported by a significant case to justify such a departure. The previous approval carries little weight in this regard in that the decision predates the last two versions of the NPPF and the LPSV, and the applicants submission on need is not supported by the Local Plan evidence base.

#### Original officer report

This application is before this Committee since the recommendation is for approval contrary to an objection from a Local Council which is material to the planning merits of the proposal, and the Local Council confirms it intends to attend and speak at the meeting where the application will be considered (Pursuant to The Constitution, Part 3: Scheme of Delegation to Officers from Full Council)).

# **Description of Site:**

The application site comprises around 1.26ha and located to the south of Oakley Hall, itself on the east side of Hoe Lane. The site appears largely disused with remains of glass houses on the western half, and open ground to the east; it has evidently not been used for horticulture for a considerable period. Access to the site is from the north, along the flank of Prospect House.

The site and surrounding land all lies within the Green Belt and the eastern site boundary abuts the Nazeing and South Roydon Conservation Area.

The area comprises a mix of uses, immediately to the south lies glasshouses and such a common in the area. Oakley Hall and Prospect House are residential properties with separate access from each other and the site, and land to the east is of open character.

# **Description of Proposal:**

The application proposes demolition of all existing structures and the erection of 50 bed care home with associated ancillary parking and landscaping, and is effectively a resubmission of a scheme previously approved under application EPF/1907/10.

The building lies on the western half of the site comprising effectively a central core with three wings. The buildings are mostly two storey with a simple elevational form, a glazed link breaks the structure into two main cores and the east wing includes a sloping roof continuing to ground level and featuring a green roof.

Individual rooms are of simple layout providing en-suite facilities and a bedroom. Communal lounges are located across the building and larger communal dining areas are provided on ground and first floor. A café lies at the central core and other facilities include cinema room, library, hairdressing space and multi- purpose space. Staff facilities include changing room and lounge, in a first floor cluster.

The land to the west of the building is enclosed to provide landscaped grounds and access is from the east side where parking is set in further grounds for 20 vehicles; provision is also made for an ambulance stand and four cycle stands abut the building.

## **Relevant History:**

There is a history of applications relating to horticultural buildings and in the period leading up to the previous permission a number of refused applications for housing schemes.

EPF/1907/10 Demolition of derelict glasshouse and sundry structures, erection of 50 bed care home with associated ancillary parking and landscaping. This was itself a resubmission following an earlier withdrawn application. Officers initially recommended refusal but the application was referred to DDMC where permission was granted subject to conditions and a section 106 agreement.

## **Policies Applied:**

Adopted Local Plan:

Section 38(6) Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications should be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The Development Plan currently comprises the Epping Forest District Council Adopted Local Plan (1998) and Alterations (2006).

The following policies within the current Development Plan are considered to be of relevance to this application:

GB2A Development in the Green Belt GB7A Conspicuous development NC1 SPA's, SAC's and SSSI's NC3 Replacement of lost habitat NC4 Protection of existing habitat RP4 Contaminated land U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	CP1	Achieving sustainable development objectives
GB7A Conspicuous development NC1 SPA's, SAC's and SSSI's NC3 Replacement of lost habitat NC4 Protection of existing habitat RP4 Contaminated land U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	CP2	Protecting the quality of the rural and built environment
NC1 SPA's, SAC's and SSSI's  NC3 Replacement of lost habitat  NC4 Protection of existing habitat  RP4 Contaminated land  U3B Sustainable drainage systems  DBE1 Design of new buildings  DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties  DBE4 Design in the Green Belt  DBE5 Design and layout of new development	GB2A	Development in the Green Belt
NC3 Replacement of lost habitat NC4 Protection of existing habitat RP4 Contaminated land U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	GB7A	Conspicuous development
NC4 Protection of existing habitat RP4 Contaminated land U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	NC1	SPA's, SAC's and SSSI's
RP4 Contaminated land U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	NC3	Replacement of lost habitat
U3B Sustainable drainage systems DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	NC4	Protection of existing habitat
DBE1 Design of new buildings DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	RP4	Contaminated land
DBE2 Effect on neighbouring properties DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	U3B	Sustainable drainage systems
DBE4 Design in the Green Belt DBE5 Design and layout of new development	DBE1	Design of new buildings
DBE5 Design and layout of new development	DBE2	Effect on neighbouring properties
	DBE4	Design in the Green Belt
DDEC Composition in post development	DBE5	Design and layout of new development
DBE6 Car parking in new development	DBE6	Car parking in new development
DBE9 Loss of Amenity	DBE9	Loss of Amenity
LL2 Inappropriate rural development	LL2	Inappropriate rural development
LL10 Adequacy of landsape protection	LL10	Adequacy of landsape protection
LL11 Landscaping schemes	LL11	Landscaping schemes
ST1 Location of development	ST1	Location of development
ST2 Accessibility of development	ST2	Accessibility of development
ST4 Road safety	ST4	Road safety
ST5 Travel Plans	ST5	Travel Plans
ST6 Vehicle parking	ST6	Vehicle parking

# NPPF (February 2109):

The revised NPPF is a material consideration in determining planning applications. As with its predecessor, the presumption in favour of sustainable development remains at the heart of the NPPF. Paragraph 11 of the NPPF provides that for determining planning applications this means either;

- (a) approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or
- (b) where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:
  - i. the application of policies in the NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
  - ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole

The presumption in favour of sustainable development does not change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making, but policies within the development plan need to be considered and applied in terms of their degree of consistency with the Framework.

In addition to paragraph 11, the following paragraphs of the NPPF are considered to be of relevance to this application:

- 9 Promoting sustainable transport paragraphs 105, 108, 110
- 11 Making effective use of land paragraphs 118, 121, 123
- 12 Achieving well designed places paragraphs 124, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132
- 13 Protecting Green Belt land paragraphs 134, 143 147
- Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change paragraphs 150, 151, 153, 163, 165
- 15 Conserving and enhancing the natural environment paragraphs 170, 174-178, 180

Epping Forest District Local Plan (Submission Version) 2017:

On 14 December 2017, the Council resolved to approve the Epping Forest District Local Plan (2011-2033) – Submission Version ("LPSV") for submission to the Secretary of State and the Council also resolved that the LPSV be endorsed as a material consideration to be used in the determination of planning applications.

The Council submitted the LPSV for independent examination on 21 September 2018. The Inspector appointed to examine the LPSV ("the Local Plan Inspector") held examination hearings between 12 February and 11 June 2019. As part of the examination process, the Council has asked the Local Plan inspector to recommend modifications of the LPSV to enable its adoption.

During the examination hearings, a number of proposed Main Modifications of the LPSV were 'agreed' with the Inspector on the basis that they would be subject to public consultation in due course. Following completion of the hearings, in a letter dated 2 August 2019, the Inspector provided the Council with advice on the soundness and legal compliance of the LPSV ("the Inspector's Advice"). In that letter, the Inspector concluded that, at this stage, further Main Modifications (MMs) of the emerging Local Plan are required to enable its adoption and that, in some cases, additional work will need to be done by the Council to establish the precise form of the MMs.

Although the LPSV does not yet form part of the statutory development plan, when determining planning applications, the Council must have regard to the LPSV as material to the application under consideration. In accordance with paragraph 48 of the Framework, the LPAs "may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

- a) The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- b) The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
- c) The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the NPPF (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the NPPF, the greater the weight that may be given)."

Footnote 22 to paragraph 48 of the NPPF explains that where an emerging Local Plan is being examined under the transitional arrangements (set out in paragraph 214), as is the case for the LPSV, consistency should be tested against the previous version of the Framework published in March 2012.

As the preparation of the emerging Local Plan has reached a very advanced stage, subject to the Inspector's Advice regarding the need for additional MMs, significant weight should be accorded to LPSV policies in accordance with paragraph 48 of Framework.

The following policies in the LPSV are considered to be of relevance to the determination of this application, with the weight afforded by your officers in this particular case indicated:

	POLICY	WEIGHT AFFORDED	
SP1	Presumption in favour of sustainable development	Significant	
SP2	Spatial Development Strategy	Some	
SP6	Green Belt and District Open Land	Some	
SP7	The Natural Environment, landscape character and	Significant	
green infrastructure			
T1	Sustainable transport choices	Significant	
T2	Safeguarding of routes and facilities	Significant	
DM1	Habitat protection and improving biodiversity	Significant	
DM2	Epping Forest SAC and Lee Valley SPA	Significant	
DM3	Landscape Character, Ancient Landscapes and	Significant	
Geodi	/ersity		
DM4	Green Belt	Significant	
DM5	Green and Blue Infrastructure	Significant	
DM9	High Quality Design	Significant	
DM10	Housing design and quality	Significant	
DM15	Managing and reducing flood risk	Significant	
DM16	Sustainable Drainage Systems	Significant	
DM19	Sustainable water use	Significant	
DM21	Local environmental impacts, pollution and land	Significant	
contamination			
DM22	Air quality	Significant	

# **Consultation Carried Out and Summary of Representations Received**

Date of site visit: 29 June 2020 Number of neighbours consulted: 83 Site notice posted: 06 May 2020

Responses received: No responses received from neighbours.

Parish Council: Initial consultation took lace during the first lockdown at a time when the Parish Council was unable to comment. An individual Parish Councillor supported the application. Nazeing Parish Council were re-consulted once local meetings recommenced and have supported the application on grounds that the proposal will:

- i) Provide state of the art care for local people.
- ii) Provide new jobs for local people.
- iii) Remove another unsavoury site, which has become a dumping ground for rubbish.

Further it is considered that there is a need for a Care Home in Nazeing which local people will be able to use without their visitors having to travel long distances. In addition, any potential issues in relation to Green Belt were resolved when the previous application was considered by EFDC.

Additional comment – Robert Halfon MP has submitted the following:

I would hope that the views of individual residents have been made directly to the district council including those of individual councillors.

I do however note that a similar application in 2010 was approved with conditions (although this did not go ahead) and that this had parish council and public support - it was believed to be a facility which would be beneficial to the local area.

I therefore very much hope that the view of those who have made representations will be carefully considered when this application is examined.

# **Main Issues and Considerations:**

Representations refer to the previous permission for the development. That permission was finally issued in January 2013 and expired therefore in January 2016 without any works commencing. Planning permission has a limited life for a number of reasons, but primarily to allow development to be assessed against changing local and national planning policy. The policies against which this scheme is judged has changed significantly since 2013 with two revisions to the NPPF and the emergence of the Local Plan Submission Version. The site was considered as part of the Local Plan process, but did not proceed beyond initial assessment and has therefore not been allocated for development. Guidance on determining applications is clear, that significant weight needs to be given to the most up to date policy and guidance. In this context therefore, little weight should be given to a decision that pre-dates that up to date policy by a significant period.

## Green Belt considerations

The primary consideration is therefore the consideration of the Green Belt issues. The site was previously used as glasshouses and would not meet the definition of previously developed land. In any event, from an inspection of the site it has been disused for a number of years and has merged somewhat into the landscape. National and local policy are therefore clear – that development of this nature is inappropriate in such a location as it fails to meet any of the exceptions in paragraph 145 of the NPPF, and policy DM4 of the LPSV.

As such it is necessary to consider whether very special circumstances exist which may justify departure from the adopted policy. The application is accompanied by a Care Needs Assessment which identifies a catchment of around 8 km from the site and this indicates an overall shortfall in the existing and planned capacity for care homes and extra care housing. It should be noted that due to the location of the site, this catchment area includes Harlow, Hoddesdon, Cheshunt and Waltham Abbey, as well as most of Epping. Officers suggest that including all of the major population centres in the surrounding area is likely to identify a higher level of need. The study does not identify a specific need for the accommodation on this site, or even within Nazeing Parish and this is significant in the context of the findings from the LPSV studies. These do identify a need for additional accommodation over the plan period, but include provision within the overall supply of land for all types of housing within the allocated sites, which include significant sites within the catchment study. It is noted that the study does not appear to have taken these allocations into account. As such, there is no overriding need for the accommodation, nor evidence to suggest it cannot be provided elsewhere (particularly not on sites which are within the Green Belt, or on sites that may be classed as previously developed land), then in the current policy framework, the development would be contrary to national and local policy designed to protect the Green Belt.

# Epping Forest Special Area of Conservation

The site lies more than 3km from the EFSAC and has therefore been considered in terms of potential impact on air quality only. The application includes a transport assessment which argues that a comparative site in use as glasshouses would generate vehicular activity greater than the proposed care home (which it is suggested would be expected to generate around 105 movements per day). However, in terms of an appropriate assessment under the Habitat

Regulations, this is a modelled calculation not based on any actual traffic data from the site, raising a number of issues. Firstly, there is nothing to suggest the modelled data is comparable to the application site (other than in terms of site area), and secondly, the site has been disused for some period and historic activity cannot be considered a relevant comparison.

In such circumstances, it must be concluded that the development will have a harmful effect on air quality within the EFSAC.

# Location sustainability

The site lies in a remote location, some distance from any services and facilities. Hoe Lane is a narrow road without any footways or street lighting for much of its length. The Transport Assessment records bus services on Middle Street, around 1.3km from the site entrance, presenting extremely hazardous conditions for staff to travel to and from work by public transport. As a result, the development would not be considered as situated in a sustainable location and would rely almost entirely on journeys by car.

No evidence has been submitted to suggest any updated analysis has been carried out in terms of a sequential approach to reconsidering the site. Other allocated sites in Nazeing are allocated in the LPSV for new residential development of all types, which as set out above would include care accommodation and to locate development of this scale in such a location would be contrary to a range of policies seeking to reduce the need for car journeys.

# Design considerations

The site is set back from the road and partially screened from public view. The building does not exceed two storeys, similar to the adjacent property and therefore the scale is not excessive nor unduly prominent architecturally. There is sufficient interest in the built form to break up the visual mass.

The overall scale of the building, together with the associated works of parking and access, and the managed grounds are that of a development to be found in a more urban setting and could not be described as in keeping with the overall character of the setting, nor a Green Belt location. This only adds to the potential wider harm, but the scheme could not be defined as of poor design when viewed in isolation.

#### Other matters

In highways terms, the development raises no safety issues for access and vehicle users, the existing access is designed for large vehicles visiting the former glasshouses and there are no highway objections.

No concerns arise in regards to potential impact on surrounding properties, the siting of the buildings away from boundaries with adjoining dwellings to the north and east prevents overshadowing and overlooking, and the overall level of external activity would not be seen as intrusive.

The historic uses mean that the site is likely to be contaminated, and has been confirmed in a contaminated land report submitted with the application. Further testing would be required if development were to be acceptable and this could be dealt with by condition.

The site has been identified as being of ecological interest, in that evidence of slow worms has been found on the site, and a nearby pond supports great crested newts. Given the semi-rural location, potential for bat and bird nesting activity has been identified. Mitigation measures are recommended if development were to proceed and can be controlled by condition.

The site lies within an EFDC Flood Risk Assessment Zone. A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) accompanies the application and proposes a drainage strategy incorporating appropriate sustainable drainage techniques. These measures are supported in principle by the drainage authorities and conditions would provide opportunity for these measures to be fully designed.

The previous development was subject to a s106 agreement dealing with a number of off site matters, and a contribution for health care was paid. At this time no further obligations have been identified.

## Conclusion:

Members are reminded that applications are required to be determined on the basis of the most up to date national and local planning policy. Historic decisions should carry little weight where wider circumstances have changed. That does not imply that the previous approval should be disregarded entirely, but planning permission last for a three year period for a reason, specifically to allow consideration of the changing planning requirements. The site was considered for allocation as part of the LPSV but did not proceed as other sites evidently are better suited to provide this type of accommodation – sites not in semi-rural Green Belt locations and those in more sustainable locations accessible directly by a range of transport modes; such sites include allocated sites within Nazeing.

The application site fails a number of key tests in terms of meeting criteria for exceptions to Green Belt policy and to approve the development now would undermine wider Green Belt protection in the vicinity.

However, taking account of the previous decision, if Members are minded to consider the development further, then it should be noted that the application could not currently be approved as it would result in an increased impact on air quality in the EFSAC area, and decision should be deferred pending resolution of a mitigation strategy in that regard.

Should you wish to discuss the contents of this report item please use the following contact details by 2pm on the Monday preceding the meeting at the latest:

Planning Application Case Officer: Ian Ansell Direct Line Telephone Number: 01992 564481

or if no direct contact can be made please email: <a href="mailto:contactplanning@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">contactplanning@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>